

Memo: VECM Notes
Date: February 6, 2012
From: Elmar Mertens

1 Converting VECM into State Space and MA representation

Let S_t be a vector of non-stationary, $I(1)$ variables, which are cointegrated such that $\alpha' S_t \sim I(0)$ for some matrix of cointegrating vectors α . There is then a VECM representation

$$\Delta S_t = F \Delta S_{t-1} + G(\alpha' S_{t-1}) + e_t \quad e_t \sim iid(0, \Omega) \quad (1)$$

(This can be easily generalized to a higher lag order.)

The associated state-space representation is¹

$$X_t = \begin{bmatrix} \Delta S_t \\ \alpha' S_t \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} F & G \\ \alpha' F & I + \alpha' G \end{bmatrix} X_{t-1} + \begin{bmatrix} I \\ \alpha' \end{bmatrix} e_t \quad (2)$$

$$= \mathcal{A} X_{t-1} + \mathcal{B} e_t \quad (3)$$

from which follows the VMA representation

$$\Delta S_t = \begin{bmatrix} I & 0 \end{bmatrix} (I - \mathcal{A}L)^{-1} \mathcal{B} e_t = C(L) e_t \quad (4)$$

where L is the lag operator. Due to the cointegrating relationship, the matrix of long-run coefficients $C(1)$ is singular, in particular we have $\alpha' C(1) = 0$, since $\alpha' C(1)$ measures the long-run effect of a shock on the cointegrating vectors, which are stationary and thus their long-run responses are zero. (Section A below provides further details.)

¹Notice that $\alpha' S_t = \alpha(\Delta S_t + S_{t-1})$.

2 Long-Run Shocks and the Innovation Variance

Suppose there is a one-to-one mapping between the VECM residuals e_t and structural shocks ε_t , $e_t = B\varepsilon_t$ which must obviously satisfy $BB' = \Omega$. Further suppose that some of these structural shocks shall have no effect on the levels S_t in the long-run. I will now derive the restrictions imposed by this long-run restriction on the impact coefficients B .

If some structural shocks shall have no impact on S_t in the long-run, the long-run coefficients, denoted $\Gamma(1) = C(1)B$, must obey the following zero restriction:

$$\Gamma(1) = \begin{bmatrix} X & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad (5)$$

The restriction follows from the assumed cointegration, $\alpha'S_t \sim I(0)$.

A singular-value decomposition of $C(1)$ yields

$$C(1) = V \begin{bmatrix} S_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} W' = V_1 S_1 W_1' \quad (6)$$

where $V = \begin{bmatrix} V_1 & V_2 \end{bmatrix}$ and $W = \begin{bmatrix} W_1 & W_2 \end{bmatrix}$ are conformably partitioned, unitary matrices, $VV' = I$ and $WW' = I$.

Without loss of generality, B can be written as the product of W and another matrix \tilde{B} . As will be seen next, the long-run restriction requires that \tilde{B} is (block-) triangular:

$$\tilde{B} = \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{B}_{11} & \tilde{B}_{12} \\ \tilde{B}_{21} & \tilde{B}_{22} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{B}_{11} & 0 \\ \tilde{B}_{21} & \tilde{B}_{22} \end{bmatrix} \quad (7)$$

The restriction $\tilde{B}_{12} = 0$ follows from (5) and (6), since it ensures that

$$W_1' B = \begin{bmatrix} x & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad (8)$$

where x denotes an arbitrary, conformably-sized matrix.

\tilde{B} factorizes $\tilde{\Omega} = W'\Omega W$, and one possible factorization satisfying the long-run restriction (5) is thus the Choleski factorization of $\tilde{\Omega}$. Furthermore, Potential permutations must retain the spans of the column blocks given the by the Choleski factorization.

For uniqueness, suppose further that the upper block of X in (5) is upper-triangular. It is then straightforward to show, that the first column block of B — the columns associated with the long-run shocks — is uniquely given by the first block of

$$B = W \text{ chol}(\tilde{\Omega}) \quad (9)$$

A $C(1)$ is singular

The singularity of $C(1)$ holds also in sample, for any point estimates of F , G and α — provided that \mathcal{A} is stable, of course. Apart from appealing to $\alpha'S_t \sim I(0)$, this can also be verified by computing the partitioned inverse of $I - \mathcal{A}$:

$$(I - \mathcal{A})^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} I_F & -G \\ -\alpha'F & \alpha'G \end{bmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} M^{11} & M^{12} \\ M^{21} & M^{22} \end{bmatrix} \quad (10)$$

The standard formulas for the inverse of a partitioned matrix imply in this case $M^{12} = -M^{11}G(\alpha'G)^{-1}$.

Further, it follows that

$$C(1) = M^{11} (I - G(\alpha'G)^{-1}\alpha') \quad (11)$$

And Sylvester's determinant theorem yields:

$$|C(1)| = |M^{11}| |(\alpha'G)^{-1}| |(\alpha'G - \alpha'G)| = 0 \quad (12)$$

Furthermore, it is straightforward to show that $\alpha' C(1) = 0$ for any point estimates of α , F and G . To see this, notice that

$$\begin{aligned}
M^{11} &= ((I - F) + G(\alpha' G)^{-1} \alpha' F)^{-1} \\
&= (I - F)^{-1} - (I - F)^{-1} G (\alpha' G + \alpha' F (I - F)^{-1} G)^{-1} \alpha' F (I - F)^{-1} \\
&= (I - F)^{-1} - (I - F)^{-1} G (\alpha' (I - F)^{-1} G)^{-1} \alpha' F (I - F)^{-1} \\
\Rightarrow \alpha' M^{11} &= \alpha' (I - F)^{-1} - \alpha' F (I - F)^{-1} \\
&= \alpha' \\
\Rightarrow \alpha' C(1) &= \alpha' M^{11} (I - G(\alpha' G)^{-1} \alpha') \\
&= 0
\end{aligned}$$