

OLS & MLE in EViews

Elmar Mertens

Study Center Gerzensee



STUDY CENTER
GERZENSEE

AGENDA

- **EViews Basics**
- Linear Model and OLS
- OLS in EViews
- Maximum Likelihood
- Applications of ML in EViews

FILE & OBJECT MANAGEMENT IN EIEWS

- Object oriented concept: `series`, `group`, `coef`, `equation`, `logl` ...
- All objects are stored in a “workfile”
- Most functions accessible via menus *and alternatively* via command line
- For descriptive stats on an object type “*myobject.stats*”
- Programs can be scripts or functions. They are kept in separate files

CREATING A SERIES IN EViews

BACKUP

series mynewseries = expression, for example:

- Returns on a series of equity prices “price”
 - series simplereturns = ...
 $(\text{price} - \text{price}(-1)) / \text{price}(-1)$
 - series logreturns = ...
 $\log(\text{price}) - \log(\text{price}(-1))$
- Generate random numbers:
series $y = \text{mymean} + \text{myvol} * \text{nrnd}$
- Dummies: series mydummy = $y > 0$

DATA IMPORT

BACKUP

- Can import ASCII, Excel and more
- First, need to open/create an appropriately sized workfile
(“File” → “New” → “Workfile”)
- Second, open import dialogue and make your choices (“File”
→ “Import” → ...)
- Can do same with commands *workfile*, *new*, *create* and
read. (Care about exports? Try *write*.)
- Example program: `ffread.prg`

EXERCISE: TIME SERIES

MAKERETURNS.PRG, GENRAR1.PRG

- i) Open workfile `sp500prices.wf1` with daily closing prices of the S&P 500
- ii) Compute simple $R_t = \frac{P_t - P_{t-1}}{P_{t-1}}$ and log-returns $r_t = \log P_t / P_{t-1}$ on the S&P 500
- iii) Generate data on $x \sim iidN(3, 20)$
- iv) Generate data on a time series y following an AR(1), such that it has mean $\mu = 2$ and autocorrelation $\rho = 0.8$.

Recall: $y_t = (1 - \rho)\mu + \rho y_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t$, $\varepsilon_t \sim iidN(0, 1)$

EViews Hint: First set an initial value for y , then operate on the rest of the sample “`smp1 @first + 1 @last`”

EXERCISE SOLUTIONS

BACKUP

- You find solutions to each exercise in the programs indicated at the top of each exercise slide
- For the previous exercise these were `makereturns.prg` and `genrar1.prg`
- Typically, these are small scripts, whose commands could also be entered line by line on the command line
- Open them with “File” → “Open” → “Program”
- Important: Select “Update Default Directory” in the dialog box
- Hit the “Run” button in the program’s window

SAMPLE MANAGEMENT

- Either “on the fly” `smp1 1980:1 1999:12`

Or with pre-defined sample objects:

```
sample mysample 1980:1 1999:12
```

```
smp1 mysample
```

- Neat are the placeholders `@first`, `@last`, `@all`:

```
smp1 @first + 1 @last
```

```
smp1 @all
```

- Conditional Samples:

```
smp1 if returns > 0
```

- Note: EViews adjusts itself automatically to missing values / initial values (e.g. for AR-estimation)

EXERCISE: CONDITIONAL SAMPLES

RECESSIONRETURNS.PRG

- i) Open workfile `ff.wf1` with monthly U.S. stock returns from Fama/French.
- ii) Look at the Market Return in Excess of Riskfree `rmrf` and the indicator variable `recession`. The latter is equal to one if there was a NBER recession.
- iii) What is the average return and standard deviation over the full sample?
- iv) How do these statistics look if you condition on being in a recession or a boom?

AGENDA

- EViews Basics
- **Linear Model and OLS**
- OLS in EViews
- Maximum Likelihood
- Applications of ML in EViews

LINEAR REGRESSION MODEL

Key Assumptions:

- Linearity: $y_i = \mathbf{x}'_i \boldsymbol{\beta} + \varepsilon_i$
- Orthogonality: $E[\mathbf{x}_i \varepsilon_i] = \mathbf{0}$, a.k.a. “predetermined”
- To get standard errors, some Central Limit Theorem (CLT) should also hold for $\mathbf{g}_i = \mathbf{x}_i \varepsilon_i$:

$$\sqrt{n} \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{g}_i \right) \rightarrow N(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{S})$$

If \mathbf{g}_i serially independent, $\mathbf{S} = E[\mathbf{g}_i \mathbf{g}'_i]$. Otherwise autocovariances of \mathbf{g}_i matter, too (a.k.a. “spectral density at frequency zero”, estimate with “HACCME”)

JUSTIFICATIONS FOR OLS ESTIMATOR

1. Least Squares:

$$\mathbf{b} = \underset{\boldsymbol{\beta}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \mathbf{x}'_i \boldsymbol{\beta})^2$$

2. Method of Moments (GMM): Sample analogue to

$$\mathbb{E} [\mathbf{x}_i (y_i - \mathbf{x}'_i \boldsymbol{\beta})] = 0$$

3. Maximum Likelihood: assuming $\varepsilon_i \sim iidN(0, \sigma^2)$



All deliver the same estimate! (but s.e. may differ)

THE ESTIMATOR

BACKUP

True coefficients

$$\boldsymbol{\beta} = \mathbb{E} [\mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}_i']^{-1} \mathbb{E} [\mathbf{x}_i y_i]$$

estimated by sample analogues (LLN):

$$\mathbf{b} = \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}_i' \right)^{-1} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{x}_i y_i$$

CLT yields asymptotic distribution of estimator:

$$\sqrt{n} (\mathbf{b} - \boldsymbol{\beta}) \rightarrow N \left(\mathbf{0}, \mathbb{E} [\mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}_i']^{-1} \mathbf{S} \mathbb{E} [\mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}_i']^{-1} \right)$$

If homoscedastic: $\mathbf{S} = \text{var}(\varepsilon_i) \cdot \mathbb{E} [\mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}_i']$, otherwise HAC/CM

LLN & CLT

BACKUP

Place some (weak) restrictions on dependence, heterogeneity and existence of moments for stationary data \mathbf{g}_i :

Law of Large Numbers: “Consistency of sample mean”

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{g}_i \rightarrow \mathbf{E}[\mathbf{g}_i]$$

Central Limit Theorem: “*Asymptotic distribution*”

$$\sqrt{n} \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{g}_i - \mathbf{E}[\mathbf{g}_i] \right) \rightarrow N(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{S})$$

Linear independence: $\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{E}[\mathbf{g}_i \mathbf{g}_i']$

Else: Spectral density at frequency zero

STATIONARITY

- Key assumption is stationarity, in particular

$$Ex^2 = \text{const} < \infty$$

- Non-stationary example: Random Walk:

$$s_t = s_{t-1} + r_t$$

$$r_t = \bar{r} + \sigma\varepsilon_t \quad \varepsilon_t \sim iid(0, 1)$$

$$\text{Var}_t s_{t+1} = \sigma^2$$

$$\text{Var}_t s_{t+k} = k\sigma^2 \rightarrow \infty$$

- Ever wondered why “Asset Pricing” is so obsessed with *returns* ;-)

STATIONARITY TESTS

- Basic idea: $y_t = \rho y_{t-1} + BX_{t-1} + e_t$ $\rho = 1$??
- Null hypothesis of $\rho = 1$ says “ y_t has unit root”
- Can run OLS but need special s.e. for tests of ρ (but not for B), e.g. “Dickey-Fuller”, “Ng-Perron”
- Problem: In finite sample we can never really distinguish between $\rho = 1$ and $\rho = 0.99 \dots$. Low Power of tests. Check with null of “ y_t is stationary” (KKSS test)
- Can interest rates be unit root? Inflation? Hours worked?
- Always ask “What is better approximation for this sample”

AGENDA

- EViews Basics
- Linear Model and OLS
- **OLS in EViews**
- Maximum Likelihood
- Applications of ML in EViews

OLS IN EIEWS

- Quick: Just list dependent and explanatory variables:

```
ls y c x1 x2
```

- Or stored in a named equation object:

```
equation myequation.ls y c x1 x2  
myequation.stats
```

Some Tips:

- Group regressors: "ls y c xgroup" (neat for programs)
- Freeze results in separate table: "freeze myequation.stats"
- Non-linear LS: "ls y = c(1) + x1^c(2) + c(3)*x2" estimates c_0, c_1 and c_2 in $y_t = c_0 + x_{1,t}^{c_1} + c_2 x_{2,t} + \varepsilon_t$

ESTIMATION OPTIONS

BACKUP

- Variance/Covariance Matrices:
 - “Huber-Eicker-White”, a.k.a. “HCCME”: $ls(h)$
 - “Newey-West”, a.k.a. “HACCCME”: $ls(n)$
- Other Options:
 - Weighted Least Squares with given weights
 $ls(w=myweights)$
 - Optimization methods, might matter for non-linear least squares. For details see manual:
“Help” → “Quick Help Reference” → “Object Reference”
→ “Equation” → “ls”

EXERCISE: REGRESSIONS

FFXR.PRG, FFMM.PRG

- i) Open workfile `ff.wf1` with returns from Fama & French
- ii) Look at descriptive statistics of market's return in excess of the riskfree rate, R_t^M , stored in `rmrf`
- iii) Create returns in excess of `rf` for `r1`: $R_t^{e,1} = R_t^1 - R_t^f$
- iv) Run market model regression of `r1`'s excess return on constant and market: $R_t^{e,1} = \alpha + \beta R_t^M + \varepsilon_t$
- v) Run "three factor model" regression of `r1`'s excess return on constant, market (`rmrf`), "size" (`smb`) and "value" (`hml`):
$$R_t^{e,1} = \alpha + \beta_1 R_t^M + \beta_2 R_t^{SMB} + \beta_3 R_t^{HML} + \varepsilon_t$$

EXERCISE: UNIT ROOTS

INTROOTS.PRG

- i) `interestrates.wf1` contains monthly TBill and Funds Rate for the U.S.
- ii) Test for stationarity using Dickey-Fuller and other tests
Hint: Open series and use “View” → “Unit Root Tests” or
`tb3.uroot(dfgs)`
- iii) Test over different samples

EXERCISE: WALD & ARCH

SPGARCH.PRG

- i) Go back to `sp500prices` and estimate an $AR(7)$ for the log-returns
- ii) Are any of the individual coefficients significant?
- iii) Test whether all of the $AR(7)$ coefficients are jointly significant
- iv) Test for presence of heteroscedasticity (Hint: use `archtest`)
- v) If you find heteroscedasticity, were the estimated $AR(7)$ coefficients actually valid?
- vi) Estimate an ARCH/GARCH model using the $AR(7)$ as mean equation

EQUATION SYSTEMS

BACKUP

- Create *system* object: `system mysystem`
- Open its “specification window”: `mysystem.spec`
- Simply list the set of equations in “longhand” notation:

```
xr1 = c(1) + c(2) * rmrf  
' or nicer using named coeffs:  
xr1 = alpha(1) + beta(1) * rmrf
```

- Assuming independence between equations' *residuals*:
`mysystem.ls` otherwise: `mysystem.sur`

EXERCISE: REGRESSION SYSTEMS

FFGRS.PRG, BJ.PRG

- i) Run a system of market model regressions using all six portfolios $xr1 \dots xr6$:

$$R_t^{e,1} = \alpha_1 + \beta_1 R_t^M + \varepsilon_t^1$$

\vdots

$$R_t^{e,6} = \alpha_6 + \beta_6 R_t^M + \varepsilon_t^6$$

- ii) Run a regression with 1 as dependent and each of $rmrf$, $r1$, $r2 \dots r6$'s excess return as explanatory variable without constant.

$$1 = \beta_1 R_t^{e,1} + \beta_2 R_t^{e,2} + \dots + \beta_6 R_t^{e,6} + \beta_M R_t^M + \varepsilon_t$$

TESTING LINEAR RESTRICTIONS

- Britten-Jones (1999) shows that the following regression yields weights of risky assets in mean-variance tangency portfolio

$$1 = \beta_1 R_t^{e,1} + \beta_2 R_t^{e,2} + \dots + \beta_6 R_t^{e,6} + \beta_M R_t^M + \varepsilon_t$$

- If `rmrf` is tangency, all other coefficients should be zero
- But individual t -stats can be misleading (Gibbons, Ross, and Shanken 1989). Better do a joint “Wald” test instead

```
series ones = 1
```

```
BJ.PRG
```

```
equation bj.ls ones xr rmrf
```

```
bj.wald c(1) = 0, c(2)=0, c(3)=0, c(4)=0, c(5)=0, c(6)=0
```

Note: Wald tests work the same with *system* or *logl* object

EXERCISE: WALD TESTS

FFGRS.PRG, BJ.PRG

- i) Test the restrictions of Britten-Jones (1999) using the six excess returns ($xr1, \dots, xr6$) and the market $rmrf$
- ii) For the system estimated in the previous exercise, test the restriction that all intercepts are *jointly* zero:

$$\alpha_1 = 0, \alpha_2 = 0, \alpha_3 = 0, \alpha_4 = 0, \alpha_5 = 0, \alpha_6 = 0$$

- iii) Compare the Test Statistics, what do you observe?

AGENDA

- EViews Basics
- Linear Model and OLS
- OLS in EViews
- **Maximum Likelihood**
- Applications of ML in EViews

MOTIVATION FOR MAXIMUM LIKELIHOOD

- Generic approach with well-known and mostly desirable properties
 - Consistent and efficient estimator
 - Invariance Principle: θ vs $f(\theta)$
 - Numerical procedures (now) cheap and ubiquitous
- Straightforward concept *if* distribution of data is known
- Can be understood as particular GMM, this perspective allows for some robustness against misspecification

GENERAL FRAMEWORK FOR ML

- Suppose that the *iid* data w_i was generated from a distribution whose *pdf* is parametrized by θ , $f(w_i; \theta)$
- For which θ were *fixed* w_i most likely observed?

$$\theta^{\text{MLE}} = \underset{\theta}{\operatorname{argmax}} \sum_{i=1}^n \log f(w_i; \theta)$$
$$\Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial \log f(w_i; \theta^{\text{MLE}})}{\partial \theta} = 0$$

Jargon:	$\log f(w_i; \theta)$	a.k.a.	“contributions”
	$\frac{\partial \log f(w_i; \theta^{\text{MLE}})}{\partial \theta}$	a.k.a.	“scores”

LINEAR REGRESSION WITH NORMAL ERRORS

Assuming $\varepsilon_i \sim iidN(0, \sigma^2)$ in $y_i = \mathbf{x}'_i \boldsymbol{\beta} + \varepsilon_i$:

$$f(\underbrace{y_i, \mathbf{x}_i}_{\mathbf{w}_i}; \underbrace{\boldsymbol{\beta}, \sigma}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \exp \left[-\frac{(y_i - \mathbf{x}'_i \boldsymbol{\beta})^2}{2\sigma^2} \right]$$

Hence the log-likelihood objective is

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \log f(y_i, \mathbf{x}_i; \boldsymbol{\beta}, \sigma) = \\ -\frac{1}{2} \log(2\pi) - \frac{1}{2} \log \sigma^2 - \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{y_i - \mathbf{x}'_i \boldsymbol{\beta}}{\sigma} \right)^2}_{\text{Least Squares !}} \end{aligned}$$

STATISTICS OF NORMAL DISTRIBUTION

BACKUP

Assuming $y_i \sim iidN(\mu, \sigma^2)$:

$$f(\underbrace{y_i}_{w_i}; \underbrace{\mu, \sigma}_{\theta}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \exp\left[-\frac{(y_i - \mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right]$$

Hence the log-likelihood objective is

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \log f(y_i; \mu, \sigma) = \\ -\frac{1}{2} \log(2\pi) - \frac{1}{2} \log \sigma^2 - \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{y_i - \mu}{\sigma}\right)^2 \end{aligned}$$

“ML IS GMM ON THE SCORES”

BACKUP

- In analogy to GMM with $\mathbf{g}_i = \frac{\partial \log f(\mathbf{w}_i; \boldsymbol{\theta}^{\text{MLE}})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}}$ we get

$$\sqrt{n} \left(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{\text{MLE}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}_0 \right) \rightarrow N \left(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{S} \mathbf{A}^{-1} \right)$$

$$\mathbf{S} = \text{E} \left[\mathbf{g}_i \mathbf{g}_i' \right] = \text{E} \left[\frac{\partial \log f}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} \frac{\partial \log f'}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} \right]$$

$$\mathbf{A} = \text{E} \left[\frac{\partial \mathbf{g}_i}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}'} \right] = \text{E} \left[\frac{\partial^2 \log f}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta} \partial \boldsymbol{\theta}'} \right] \quad (\mathbf{S} \text{ and } \mathbf{A} \text{ evaluated at } \boldsymbol{\theta}_0)$$

- ML's Information Matrix Equality: $\mathbf{S} = -\mathbf{A}$
- Cramer-Rao: No tighter s.e. possible than \mathbf{S} ! (or bias)
- If not sure whether *pdf* really true but \mathbf{g}_i still a sensible moment: Quasi-ML uses robust estimation of \mathbf{A} and \mathbf{S}

AGENDA

- EViews Basics
- Linear Model and OLS
- OLS in EViews
- Maximum Likelihood
- **Applications of ML in EViews**

ML IN EVIEWS

Name of the Game: Given θ , describe contributions as a series

1. Create log-likelihood object: `logl mylogl`

2. In the object's specification window (`mylogl.spec`):

```
@logl mycont
```

$$\text{mycont} = \underbrace{-\log(c(2)) - (\text{mydata} - c(1))^2/c(2)}_{\text{Example of normal's mean and variance}}$$

3. Estimate: `mylogl.ml`

If you run into errors or strange results, use some sensible starting values for your coefficients!

COIN FLIPPING

- When flipping a coin we have

$$\text{Prob(Heads)} = \delta$$

$$\text{Prob(Tails)} = 1 - \delta$$

- The coin might be loaded hence $\delta \in [0; 1]$

- Collect data on draws: $w_i = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if Heads} \\ 0 & \text{if Tails} \end{cases}$

Note: The distribution of a single draw is a.k.a. “Bernoulli”. If you count the number of heads in a sequence of draws, the sum is distributed “Binomial”

MLE OF COIN PROBABILITY IN EIEWS

- Individual flip has *pdf*

$$f(w_i; \delta) = \delta^{w_i} (1 - \delta)^{1-w_i}$$

- Recall: MLE of δ maximizes *log*-likelihood of the n independent draws

$$\delta^{\text{MLE}} = \underset{\delta}{\operatorname{argmax}} \sum_{i=1}^n \underbrace{\log f(w_i; \delta)}_{\text{"contribution"}}$$

- In Eviews:

```
@logl llf
```

```
COINFLIPS.WF1
```

```
llf = log(c(1)^w * (1-c(1))^(1-w))
```

COIN PROBABILITY WITH OLS/GMM

BACKUP

- MLE yields sample average. This is no coincidence. Notice:

$$E[w_i] = 1 \cdot \delta + 0 \cdot (1 - \delta) = \delta$$

- Method of moments:

$$\hat{\delta} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n w_i$$

- Or think of a linear regression $w_i = \delta + \varepsilon_i$. The errors are non-normal, but they satisfy the orthogonality condition $E[\varepsilon_i] = 0$. LLN and CLT can be applied.
- In EViews: “ls w c” or “w.stats” again see coinflips.wf1

EXERCISE: TAILS OF DISTRIBUTION

TAILSMLE.PRG

Use `sp500prices.wf1`:

- i) Create log-returns
- ii) Estimate the probability of a return being
 - lower than -1%
 - higher than 1%
 - being between -1% and 1%

Hint: For each of the conditions above, create a series which takes either zero or one, depending on whether condition is true. For example series `low = logreturns < -.01`

- iii) Do you have to use MLE for this?

EXTENSIONS TO LOGL-OBJECT

BACKUP

Initial Values In `logl.spec`: “@param c(1) .5 c(2) 1” to start optimization with $c(1)=0.5$ and $c(2)=1$

Named Coefficients Instead of $c(1)$, $c(2)$ etc.: Define your own coefficient vectors on command line for use in `logl` or equation objects: `coef(n) mycoef`

Intermediate series For clarity of `logl` specification, e.g.

$$e = (\text{data} - \text{mu}(1)) / \text{sigma}(1)$$

$$\text{logl} = -e^2 - \log(\text{sigma}(1)^2)$$

Analytic Scores Sometimes easy to derive or given in textbooks. Very useful for speed and accuracy. (See EViews Manual)

Quiz: What's the analytic score for a Linear Regression?

EXERCISE: SIMPLE MLE

MEANVAR.PRG, LINREG.PRG

Still using `ff.wf1`:

- i) Estimate mean and variance of portfolio 1's excess return `xr1` assuming normality
- ii) Redo market model of `xr1` on constant and `rmrf` using maximum-likelihood. Compare results to previous OLS estimation

Hint: To use the same `log1` for differing dependent and explanatory variables, express everything in terms of series `y` and `x` and assign data prior to estimation

SOME FUNCTIONS IN EViews

BACKUP

- `log(.)` natural logarithm
- `@sqrt(.)` square root
- `@dnorm(.)` density of standard normal
- `series myseries = @recode(cond, expr1, expr2)` Fills series with *expr1* if *cond* true, otherwise with *expr2*
(Useful for AR1 later)

See also “Help” → “Quick Help Reference” → “Function Reference”

AR(1) ESTIMATION

With $\varepsilon \sim iidN(0, \sigma^2)$ the AR(1) model

$$y_t - \mu = \rho(y_{t-1} - \mu) + \varepsilon_t \quad (|\rho| < 1)$$

implies unconditional *and* conditional distributions for y :

$$y_t \sim N\left(\mu, \frac{\sigma^2}{1 - \rho^2}\right) \quad y_{t|t-1} \sim N(\mu(1 - \rho) + \rho y_{t-1}, \sigma^2)$$

OLS takes y_1 as given and is a.k.a. *conditional* MLE. Hence, its log-likelihood consists of $T - 1$ contributions. Exact ML adds a contribution for y_1 based on its unconditional distribution.

Quiz: What happened to our original ML-assumption of iid data?

LOG-LIKELIHOOD OF NORMAL-AR(1)

BACKUP

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{t=1}^T \log f_t(\mathbf{y}; \boldsymbol{\theta}) &= \underbrace{\log \phi \left(\frac{y_1 - \mu}{\sqrt{\sigma^2/(1 - \rho^2)}} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \log \left(\frac{\sigma^2}{1 - \rho^2} \right)}_{\text{contribution of } y_1} \\ &+ \underbrace{\sum_{t=2}^T \left\{ \log \phi \left(\frac{(y_t - \mu) - \rho(y_{t-1} - \mu)}{\sigma} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \log(\sigma^2) \right\}}_{\text{contributions of } y_2 \dots y_T, \text{ constitute OLS/conditional MLE}} \end{aligned}$$

Where $\mathbf{y} = (y_1 \dots y_T)'$, $\boldsymbol{\theta} = (\mu, \rho, \sigma)'$ and $\phi(x) = \frac{\exp(-x^2/2)}{\sqrt{2\pi}}$ is the standard normal's *pdf*

EXERCISE: AR(1) WITH MLE

GENRAR1.PRG, AR1.PRG

- i) Simulate a series y as AR(1) process
- ii) Estimate *conditional* AR(1) with OLS
(You might also want to check whether your MLE code of the linear model still works here. Why shouldn't it?)
- iii) Now create the exact MLE for this AR(1) and re-estimate the parameters

Hint: When creating a series, you can specify lags of a series y simply as $y(-1)$

FURTHER APPLICATIONS OF ML

ARCH/GARCH models with various distributions. For non-normal or threshold models in EViews: `log1`

Kalman Filters when the filtering coefficients are unknown, for example see Hamilton (1994, Chapter 13)

Choice Models for example binary (“yes/no”) or multinomial (“coffee, tea, ardent spirits”). Binary logit/probit is canned in EViews. For multinomial, need `log1` again. Cool: The logit can equivalently be set up as GMM in EViews (Greene 2000, p. 821/860)!

References

- Britten-Jones, Mark. 1999. "The Sampling Error in Estimates of Mean Variance Efficient Portfolio Weights." *The Journal of Finance* 54 (2): 655–671 (April).
- Fama, Eugene F., and Kenneth R. French. 1993. "Common Risk Factors in the Returns on Stocks and Bonds." *The Journal of Financial Economics* 33:3–56.
- Gibbons, Michael R., Stephen A. Ross, and Jay Shanken. 1989. "A Test of the Efficiency of a Given Portfolio." *Econometrica* 57 (5): 1121–1152 (September).
- Greene, William H. 2000. *Econometric Analysis*. 4th edition. Upper Saddle River, NJ: Prentice Hall.
- Hamilton, James D. 1994. *Time-Series Analysis*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.
- Hayashi, Fumio. 2000. *Econometrics*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.
- Newey, W.K., and K.D. West. 1987. "A simple positive semi-definite heteroskedasticity and autocorrelation consistent covariance matrix." *Econometrica* 55:703–708.
- White, Halbert. 1980. "A heteroskedasticity-consistent covariance matrix estimator and a direct test for heteroskedasticity." *Econometrica* 48:817–837.
- . 2001. *Asymptotic Theory for Econometricians*. Revised Edition. New York: Academic Press.